## Addendum B

Addendum B: IBM's Objections to SCO's Alleged Evidence

Testimony from SCO employees stating that SCO has not attempted to sell a UNIX License to anyone who received a Linux distribution from SCO and that SCO's Linux sales after May 2003 were limited only to comply with contractual obligations. (SCO GPL Br. ¶ 34, 36-38, 47-48.)	SCO Ex. 49; IBM Ex. 284; IBM Ex. 296; IBM Ex. 300; IBM Ex. 311; IBM Ex. 324; IBM Ex. 330.	Irrelevant.
Statement that Linux SECTION REDACTED (SCO GPL Br. ¶ 40.)	SCO Ex. 276	Untimely expert opinion; Improper expert opinion under FRE 702; No foundation.
IBM documents referring to Linux as a 'and stating that 'SECTION REDACTED (SCO GPL Br. ¶ 42-44.)	SCO Ex. 328; SCO Ex. 339.	Irrelevant; No foundation or personal knowledge; Hearsay.
Third party opinions comparing Linux and UNIX. (SCO GPL Br. ¶¶ 45-46.)	SCO Ex. 334; SCO Ex. 340.	Irrelevant; No foundation or personal knowledge; Improper expert opinion under FRE 702; Hearsay.

No foundation or personal knowledge; Irrelevant.	3M Ex. 284; IBM Ex. 300; Irrelevant; Improper Legal Conclusion.
SCO Ex. 49.	SCO Ex. 49; SCO Ex. 233; IBM Ex. 284; IBM Ex. 300; IBM Ex. 311; IBM Ex. 324.
Testimony from SCO employee concerning alleged "hacking" by IBM into UNIX. (SCO GPL Br. ¶ 50-51.)	Testimony from SCO employees concerning lack of notice by IBM regarding SCO's infringement, stating that the last of SCO's contractual obligations to Linux customers ended nearly two years ago, and stating that SCO has no existing Linux customers, no contractual obligations to former Linux customers and does not provide (or plan to provide) customer support for Linux (SCO GPL Br. ¶ 53, 60, 61.)